



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/748,188	12/27/2000	Tadayoshi Iijima	P107424-00019	2973
23353	7590	11/28/2005	EXAMINER	
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			BERNATZ, KEVIN M	
LION BUILDING			ART UNIT	
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501			PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			1773	

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/748,188		IIJIMA, TADAYOSHI	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Kevin M. Bernatz		1773	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2,3 and 8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Amendments to claims 2 and 8, filed on September 2, 2005, have been entered in the above-identified application.
2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 2, 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yukinobu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,411,792) in view of Sumitomo Cement KK (JP 06-087631-A) for the reasons of record as set forth in Paragraph No. 5 of the Office Action mailed on March 3, 2005. See provided English language translation of JP '631 A.

Response to Arguments

4. **The rejection of claims 2, 3 and 8 under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) – Yukinobu et al. in view of JP '631 A**

Applicant(s) argue(s) that Sumitomo Cement KK teach a much broader amount range than the claimed 0.03 – 9.3 parts by volume recited in the present claims, hence distinguishing the claimed invention from the Sumitomo Cement KK invention (*page 5 of response*). The examiner respectfully disagrees.

First, the Examiner notes that the claimed range is still completely encompassed by the range disclosed by JP '631 A and there is presently no evidence of record that the claimed range results in unexpected results. Second, the Examiner notes that JP '631 A provides sufficient specificity and guidance to use low resin amounts since the greater the amount of resin, the lower the conductivity of the film and the film is designed to be highly conductive (*see Paragraphs 0008 and 0012 of English translation*).

Applicants further argue that the combination would render the film of JP '631 A unsatisfactory for its intended use (*pages 5 – 6 of response*). The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Applicants are noted that the rejection is predicated upon the modification of the *Yukinobu et al.* structure by the teachings in Sumitomo Cement KK (JP '631 A), not the other way around. At no point did the Examiner suggest compressing the JP '631 A conductive layer as alleged by applicants. The Examiner further notes that both *Yukinobu et al.* and JP '631 A are in the same field of endeavor and possess substantially identical structures: a base layer, followed by a layer with a high concentration of conductive particles (*JP '631 – Paragraphs 0007 – 0012*) and then a layer with a low concentration of conductive particles (*JP '631 – Paragraph 0014*). Given the substantial similarity in both intended use and structure of the two references, the Examiner deems that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention would have relied upon JP '631 A to provide guidance as to the amount of resin in the layer possessing a high concentration of conductive particles.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M Bernatz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1505. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KMB
November 21, 2005


Kevin M. Bernatz, PhD
Primary Examiner